

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

9 DENNIS DEWAYNE TRIBBY and
10 LINDA LAVINNA TRIBBY,

O R D E R
Civ. No. 07-6187-TC

11 Plaintiffs,

12 vs.

13 BUZ MATTSON and RAY KLEIN,
14 INC., an Oregon corporation,
dba PROFESSIONAL CREDIT SERVICE,

15 Defendants.

16 AIKEN, Judge:

17 Magistrate Judge Coffin filed his Findings and
18 Recommendation on November 6, 2007. The matter is now before me
19 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b).
20 When a party objects to any portion of the Magistrate's Findings
21 and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo
22 determination of that portion of the Magistrate's report. 28
23 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore
24 Business Machines, 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert.
25 denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

26 Plaintiffs have timely filed objections. I have, therefore,
27 given the file of this case a de novo review. I ADOPT the
28 Magistrate's Findings and Recommendation (doc. 11) that

1 plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment (doc. 9) be denied.
2 IT IS SO ORDERED.

3 Dated this 4 day of December 2007.
4
5
6

7 
8 Ann Aiken
United States District Judge